UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,465	06/19/2006	Li-Ying Yang	FDN-2794/CIP	1913
William J Davis	7590 09/02/200 S	EXAMINER		
Gaf Materials C Legal Dept Bld		AHMED, SHEEBA		
1361 Alps Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wayne, NJ 074		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/565,465	65 YANG, LI-YING			
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		SHEEBA AF	HMED	1794		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication a	ppears on the o	over sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTC WHICHEVER IS LONGER  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai  - If NO period for reply is specified ab  - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING under the provisions of 37 CFR ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory perion ded period for reply will, by stat r than three months after the main three main three months after the main three months after the main three months after three main three months after three main thre	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event od will apply and will e tute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be tirexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 3)□ Since this application	unication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2b)⊡ Th is in condition for allow with the practice unde	nis action is not vance except fo	or formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 8) ☐ Claim(s) are s	n(s) is/are withd allowed. are rejected. objected to.	rawn from cons				
Application Papers						
•	n is/are: a) ☐ a est that any objection to the heet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. Set if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F O Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/565,465 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

 Amendments to claim 1 have been entered in the above identified application. Claim 4 is cancelled. Claims 1-3 and 5 are pending.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glogovsky et al. (US 6,743,864.)

Glogovsky et al teach a polyolefin composition including 15-40wt% of a crystalline polypropylene, 60-85wt% of an elastomeric fraction such as ethylene-butene copolymer, both of which may be produced by metallocene catalysts, and up to 50wt% of conventional additives, wherein the polyolefin composition may be utilized to produce roofing membranes of 5 to 200 mils that may be scrim reinforced (See Abstract; Column 5; Column 7, lines 19-30; and Column 8, lines 14-60). Glogovksy et al. specifically state in the Background of the Invention section that sheeting materials for roofing applications comprising highly crystalline thermoplasticity promoters, such as

Application/Control Number: 10/565,465 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

high density polyethylene (HDPE) are known. With regards to the heat seam peel strength and cold brittleness point limitations, the Examiner takes the position that the membrane taught by Glogovsky et al. would inherently possess the same properties as instantly claimed given that the membrane taught by Glogovsky et al. and that of the claimed invention comprise the same materials. Furthermore, with regards to the limitation that the polyolefin has a molecular weight distribution (MWD) of about 2 to 2.5, the Examiner takes the position that polyolefins taught by Glogovsky et al. must have the same molecular weight distribution given that both are prepared by the same process.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed June 2, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glogovsky et al. (US 6,743,864) and submit that independent claim 1 now recites a thermoplastic polyolefin (TPO) roofing membrane comprising cap and base layers made of about 10-50% additives including a crystallinity enhancing polymer comprising high density polyethylene (HDPE). Applicants further state that Glogovsky et al. do not teach roofing membranes comprising a crystallinity enhancing polymer and instead teaches that high levels of crystallinity are undesirable in its roofing membranes, as it may lead to "less thermal dimensional stability."

However, the Examiner disagrees. Glogovksy et al. specifically state in the Background of the Invention section that sheeting materials for roofing applications

comprising highly crystalline thermoplasticity promoters, such as <u>high density</u> polyethylene (<u>HDPE</u>) are known.

Hence, the above rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEEBA AHMED whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,465 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sheeba Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794